



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,524	10/23/2001	Hiroto Uchiyama	10830-076001	3974	
26211 7	590 01/19/2005		EXAM	INER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. CITIGROUP CENTER 52ND FLOOR 153 EAST 53RD STREET			MANOSKEY	MANOSKEY, JOSEPH D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10022-4611		2113		

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)			
,	10/047,524	UCHIYAMA, HIROTO			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Jos ph Manoskey	2113			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the maximum state of the maximu	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) datiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 April 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the constant	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So rection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica priority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [708) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohashi, U.S. Patent 5,398,252.
- 3. Referring to claim 1, Ohashi teaches a LSI tester with a display, this interpreted as an alarm display unit of an IC tester for displaying an alarm when an abnormality occurs in a measured IC (See Fig. 5 and Col. 3, lines 55-58). Ohashi also teaches executed test result that is compared with an expected result and is then introduced back into the test period control and test pattern control which creates new signals to apply to the device under test, this is interpreted as a sampling control portion for collecting data in advance for use in deciding a permissible value for issuing an alarm display and a permissible value calculation portion for calculating the permissible value on the basis of the data collected by the sampling control portion (See Col. 4, lines 22-29). Ohashi discloses the test results being indicated on the display after the output and patterns are compared, this is interpreted as an alarm control portion for judging

Art Unit: 2113

whether an abnormality has occurred and whether the alarm is to be displayed or not, on the basis of the permissible value calculated by the permissible value calculation portion and a measured value obtained from the measured IC (See Col. 5, lines 39-42).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohashi in view of Motoi et al. U.S. Patent 6,480,016, hereinafter referred to as "Motoi".
- 6. Referring to claim 2, Ohashi teaches all the limitations (See rejection of claim 1) however Ohashi is silent on the use of memory for storing the sampling data, permissible value, and measure value obtained from the IC. Motoi discloses an integrated circuit tester having memory to store the data involved with the testing of the IC (See Fig. 1 and Col. 1, lines 57-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the memory of the IC tester of Motoi with IC tester of Ohashi to store the sampling data, permissible value, and measure value. This would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 10/047,524 Page 4

Art Unit: 2113

time of the invention to do because memory can store the values during the testing of the IC for comparison after the test (See Motoi, Col. 2, lines 6-15).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3 and 4 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments, see page 4 of amendment, filed November 4, 2004, with respect to claim 1 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C. 112(2) rejection of claim 1 has been withdrawn.
- 9. Applicant's arguments, see pages 4 and 5 of amendment, filed November 4, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of new found prior art for claims 1 and 2, see above rejection.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following is example of a closely related alarm display system for a tester.

U.S. Patent 5,319,353, to Ohnishi et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,524 Page 5

Art Unit: 2113

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Manoskey whose telephone number is (571) 272-3648. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (7:30am to 4pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JDM January 12, 2005

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100